

EN 50360:2001+A1:2012 EN 50566:2013

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

Advanced Technologies SRL

Ion Heliade Radulescu nr 26, Bucharest 021255, ROMANIA

Tested Model: Xylo Q

Mutiple Models: Xylo X

Product Type: Report Type: Original Report Smartphone Xylo Wilson then Test Engineer: Wilson Chen Report Number: RSZ160309002-20 **Report Date:** 2016-03-30 BellHu Bell Hu Reviewed By: SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone **Prepared By:** Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the equipment described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. This report is valid only with a valid digital signature. The digital signature may be available only under the Adobe software above version 7.0.

Attestation of Test Results						
	Company Name	Advanced Technologies SRL				
EUT	EUT Description	Smartphone Xylo				
Information	Model Number	Tested Model: Xylo Q Mutiple Models: Xylo X				
	Test Date	2016-03-14				
Frequency Band		Max. SAR Level(s) Measured	Limit(W/Kg)			
EGSM 900		0.351 W/kg 10g Head SAR 0.630 W/kg 10g Body SAR				
DCS 1800		0.334 W/kg 10g Head SAR 0.145 W/kg 10g Body SAR	2.0			
WCDMA 900		0.412 W/kg 10g Head SAR 0.376 W/kg 10g Body SAR	2.0			
WCDMA 2100		0.615 W/kg 10g Head SAR 0.274 W/kg 10g Body SAR				
Applicable Standards	restrictions related to EN50566: 2013 Product standard to body-mount wireless EN62209-1:2006 Human exposure to communication do Part1:Procedure to in close proximity to EN62209-2:2010 Human exposure to communication development 2: Procedure communicati	to demonstrate the compliance of Smartphone Stochuman exposure to electromagnetic fields (300MI) of demonstrate compliance of radio frequency fields as communication devices used by the general public to radio frequency fields from hand-held and both evices — Human models, instrumentation, determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for has to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3GHz) to radio frequency fields from hand-held and both evices — Human models, instrumentation, and procedute to determine the specific absorption rate in the specific absorption rate with the specific absorption rate in	Is from handheld and c (30 MHz — 6 GHz) ody-mounted wireless and procedures — and-held devices used ody-mounted wireless ares — (SAR) for wireless I equipment with the 10 MHz to 300 GHz) e Specific Absorption			

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in DIRECTIVE 1999/5/EC & EN 50360:2001+A1:2012 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in EN62209-1:2006 & EN62209-2:2010.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 92

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	
Liquid Verification	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATIONSAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION	
EAR/TILT POSITION	26
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
Test Procedure.	
TEST RESULTS:	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
TEST RESULTS:	
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	
APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	57
APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	84
Liquid depth ≥ 15cm	
BODY-WORN BACK SETUP PHOTOLEFT HEAD TOUCH SETUP PHOTO	
LEFT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTOLEFT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	
RIGHT HEAD TOUCH SETUP PHOTO	
RIGHT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	86
APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS	
EUT – Front View	
EUT –BACK VIEW EUT – LEFT VIEW	
EUT – LEFT VIEW	
EUT – Top View	
EUT – BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT – Cover off View	
APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	
PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER	92

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number Report Number		Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RSZ160309002-20	Original Report	2016-03-30	

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 92

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of Advanced Technologies SRL and their product, Model: Xylo Q or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

*Note: This series products model: Xylo Q and Xylo X, we select model: Xylo Q to test, there is no electrical change has been made to the equipment, please refer to the product similarity letter.

Technical Specification

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	Headset
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Multi-slot Class:	Class 12
Operation Mode :	GSM Voice, GPRS Data, WCDMA, Wi-Fi and Bluetooth
	E-GSM900: 880-915 MHz(TX); 925-960 MHz(RX)
	DCS Band: 1710-1785 MHz(TX); 1805-1880 MHz(RX)
F	WCDMA900: 880-915 MHz(TX); 925-960 MHz(RX)
Frequency Band:	WCDMA2100: 1920-1980MHz(TX); 2110-2170MHz(RX)
	WIFI: 2412-2472MHz
	Bluetooth: 2402-2480 MHz
	EGSM 900: 32.80 dBm
	DCS 1800: 29.50 dBm
Conducted RF Power:	WCDMA900: 22.51 dBm
Conducted RF Power:	WCDMA 2100: 22.07 dBm
	Wi-Fi: 8.83 dBm
	Bluetooth: 5.53 dBm
Dimensions (L*W*H):	126.9 mm (L) × 64.1 mm (W) × 10.35 mm (H)
Power Source:	3.7V _{DC} Rechargeable Battery
Normal Operation: Head and Body worn	

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 92

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 92

FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 92

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 92

Description of Test System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the fourth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:




The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3 SN: 3036 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure with accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than ± 0.25 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 92

Measurement System Diagram



- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for SAM Twin Phantom.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing system validation.

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 92

System Components

- DASY4 Measurement Server
- Data Acquisition Electronics
- Probes
- Light Beam Unit
- Medium
- SAM Twin Phantom
- Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom
- System Validation Kits
- Robot

DASY4 Measurement Server

The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pin out and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock



Probes

The DASY system can support many different probe types.

Dosimetric Probes: These probes are specially designed and calibrated for use in liquids with high permittivities. They should not be used in air, since the spherical isotropy in air is poor (±2 dB). The dosimetric probes have special calibrations in various liquids at different frequencies.

Free Space Probes: These are electric and magnetic field probes specially designed for measurements in free space. The z-sensor is aligned to the probe axis and the rotation angle of the x-sensor is specified.

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 92

This allows the DASY system to automatically align the probe to the measurement grid for field component measurement. The free space probes are generally not calibrated in liquid. (The H-field probes can be used in liquids without any change of parameters.)

Temperature Probes: Small and sensitive temperature probes for general use. They use a completely different parameter set and different evaluation procedures. Temperature rise features allow direct SAR evaluations with these probes.

ES3DV3 Probe Specification

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection System Built-in shielding against static charges Calibration In air from 150 MHz to 3.7 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 450 MHz, 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz (accuracy \pm 8%) Frequency 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: \pm 0.2 dB

(30 MHz to 3 GHz) Directivity ± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around

probe axis)

 \pm 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic 5 mW/g to > 100 mW/g;

Range Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$


Surface \pm 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids

Detection over diffuse reflecting surfaces.

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm


Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm Application General dosimetric up to 3 GHz



Photograph of the probe

Compliance tests of Tablet PC

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3 designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY3 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped when reaching the maximum.



Inside view of ES3DV3 E-field Probe

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 92

E-Field Probe Calibration Process

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [6] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [7] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Data Evaluation

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity - Conversion factor - Diode compression point	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2 ConvFi dcpi
Device parameters: - Frequency - Crest factor	f cf
Media parameters: - Conductivity - Density	σ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} With & Vi & = compensated \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \ (i=x, \, y, \, z) \\ Ui & = input \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \ (i=x, \, y, \, z) \\ cf & = crest \ factor \ of \ exciting \ field \ (DASY \ parameter) \\ dcp_i & = diode \ compression \ point \ (DASY \ parameter) \\ \end{array}$

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 92

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E – field
probes :
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H – fieldprobes:
$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

Norm_i = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field probes

ConF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

 a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

Ei = electric field strenggy of channel i in V/m

H_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/meter] or [Siemens/meter]

 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1, to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

Light Beam Unit

The light beam switch allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, so that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip. The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 92

Medium

Parameters:

The parameters of the tissue simulating liquid strongly influence the SAR in the liquid. The parameters for the different frequencies are defined in the corresponding compliance standards (e.g., IEC 62209-1:2005, IEC62209-2:2010, IEEE 1528-2013).

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Parameter measurements

Several measurement systems are available for measuring the dielectric parameters of liquids:

- The open coax test method (e.g., HP85070B dielectric probe kit) is easy to use, but has only moderate acuracy. It is calibrated with open, short, and deionized water and the calibrations a critical process.
- The transmission line method (e.g., model 1500T from DAMASKOS, INC.) measures the transmission and reflection in a liquid filled high precision line. It needs standard two port calibration and is probably more accurate than the open coax method.
- The reflection line method measures the reflection in a liquid filled shorted precision lined. The method is not suitable for these liquids because of its low sensitivity.
- The slotted line method scans the field magnitude and phase along a liquid filled line. The evaluation is straight forward and only needs a simple response calibration. The method is very accurate, but can only be used in high loss liquids and at frequencies above 100 to 200MHz. Cleaning the line can be tedious.

EN62209-1:2006 Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

Frequency	Head Tissue			
(MHz)	E r	O (S/m)		
150	52.3	0.76		
300	45.3	0.87		
450	43.5	0.87		
835	41.5	0.90		
900	41.5	0.97		
915	41.5	0.98		
1450	40.5	1.20		
1610	40.3	1.29		
1800-2000	40.0	1.40		
2450	39.2	1.80		
3000	38.5	2.40		
5800	35.3	5.27		

EN62209-2:2010 Recommended Body Tissue Dielectric Parameters

Frequency	Body Tissue				
(MHz)	E r	O'(S/m)			
450	43.5	0.87			
835	41.5	0.90			
900	41.5	0.97			
1800	40.0	1.40			
1900	40.0	1.40			
2450	39.2	1.80			
4000	37.4	3.43			
5000	36.2	4.45			

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 92

SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm). It has three measurement areas:

- Left hand
- Right hand
- Flat phantom

The phantom table comes in two sizes: A $100 \times 50 \times 85$ cm (L x W x H) table for use with free standing robots (DASY4 professional system option) or as a second phantom and a $100 \times 75 \times 85$ cm(L x W x H) table with reinforcements for table mounted robots (DASY4 compact system option).



The Top plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. Only one device holder is necessary if two phantoms are used (e.g., for different liquids) A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during o_-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Water-sugar based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid if the system is not used, otherwise the parameters will change due to water evaporation.
- Glycol based liquids should be used with care. As glycol is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom and the phantom should be dried when the system is not used (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the phantom's compatibility.

Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source in 5mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of $\pm 20\%$. An accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions, in which the devices must be measured, are defined by the standards.

The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.





SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 92

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity "=3 and loss tangent _=0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

System Validation Kits

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. For that purpose a well-defined SAR distribution in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom is produced.

System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder. Dipoles are available for the variety of frequencies between 300MHz and 6 GHz (dipoles for other frequencies or media and other calibration conditions are available upon request).

The dipoles are highly symmetric and matched at the center frequency for the specified liquid and distance to the flat phantom (or flat section of the SAM-twin phantom). The accurate distance between the liquid surface and the dipole center is achieved with a distance holder that snaps on the dipole.

Robot

The DASY4 system uses the high precision industrial robots RX60L, RX90 and RX90L, as well as the RX60BL and RX90BL types out of the newer series from Stäubli SA (France). The RX robot series offers many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance-free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchronous motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)

For the newly delivered DASY4 systems as well as for the older DASY3 systems delivered since 1999, the CS7MB robot controller version from Stäubli is used. Previously delivered systems have either a CS7 or CS7M controller; the differences to the CS7MB are mainly in the hardware, but some procedures in the robot software from Stäubli are also not completely the same. The following descriptions about robot hard- and software correspond to CS7MB controller with software version 13.1 (edit S5). The actual commands, procedures and configurations, also including details in hardware, might differ if an older robot controller is in use. In this case please also refer to the Stäubli manuals for further information.



SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 92

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date	S/N
Robot	RX60BL	N/A	N/A	F02/5S01A1/A/01
Robot Controller	CS7MBs&p RX60BL	N/A	N/A	F02/5S01A1/C/01
DASY4 Test Software	DASY4, V4.5 Build 19	N/A	N/A	N/A
Data Acquistion Electronics	DAE3	2015-08-17	2016-08-17	456
E-Field Probe	ES3DV3	2015-08-20	2016-08-20	3036
Dipole, 835MHz	ALS-D-835-S-2	2014-10-08	2017-10-08	180-00558
Dipole, 1750MHz	ALS-D-1750-S-2	2013-10-08	2016-10-08	198-00304
Dipole,1900MHz	ALS-D-1900-S-2	2014-10-09	2017-10-09	210-00710
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	MD4HHTV5	N/A	N/A	SD 000 H01 KA
SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom	Twin SAM	N/A	N/A	Tp-1218
Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Head and Body	ALS-TS-835-H	Each Time	/	270-01002
Simulated Tissue 1750 MHz Head and Body	ALS-TS-1750-H	Each Time	/	290-01105
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Head and Body	ALS-TS-1900-H	Each Time	/	295-01103
Directional couple	DC6180A	N/A	N/A	0325849
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	N/A	71377
Attenuator	3dB	N/A	N/A	5402
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2015-06-13	2016-06-13	US33020324
Network analyzer	8752C	2015-06-03	2016-06-03	3410A02356
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2015-06-03	2016-06-03	2624A00116
UNIVERSAL RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER	CMU200	2015-11-23	2016-11-23	106891
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2015-06-13	2016-06-13	101746

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 92

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	Type	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O (S/m)	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	$\Delta \ \epsilon_r$	ΔÖ́	(%)
880.2	Head and Body	41.46	0.96	41.50	0.97	-0.096	-1.031	±5
882.4	Head and Body	41.53	0.97	41.50	0.97	0.072	0.000	±5
897.6	Head and Body	41.55	0.98	41.50	0.97	0.120	1.031	±5
902.0	Head and Body	41.34	0.99	41.50	0.97	-0.386	2.062	±5
912.6	Head and Body	41.39	0.99	41.50	0.97	-0.265	2.062	±5
914.8	Head and Body	41.51	1.00	41.50	0.97	0.024	3.093	±5
1710.2	Head and Body	39.40	1.36	40.00	1.40	-1.500	-2.857	±5
1747.8	Head and Body	39.27	1.36	40.00	1.40	-1.825	-2.857	±5
1784.8	Head and Body	39.39	1.43	40.00	1.40	-1.525	2.143	±5
1922.4	Head and Body	40.70	1.42	40.00	1.40	1.750	1.429	±5
1950.0	Head and Body	40.22	1.39	40.00	1.40	0.550	-0.714	±5
1977.6	Head and Body	40.59	1.37	40.00	1.40	1.475	-2.143	±5


^{*}Liquid Verification was performed on 2016-03-14

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 92

System Accuracy Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
	835	Head and Body	10g	0.642*10	6.174	3.984	±10
2016-03-14	1750	Head and Body	10g	1.988*10	18.99	4.687	±10
	1900	Head and Body	10g	2.149*10	20.44	5.137	±10

Note

The power inputed to dipole is 0.1Watt,the SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power by multiplying 10 times.

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 92

EN62209-1:2006 recommended reference value for Head Tissue

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR (W/Kg)	10 g SAR (W/Kg)	Local SAR at surface (above feed point)	Local SAR at surface (y=2cm offset from feed point)
300	3.0	2.0	4.4	2.1
450	4.9	3.3	7.2	3.2
835	9.5	6.2	14.1	4.9
900	10.8	6.9	16.4	5.4
1450	29.0	16.0	50.2	6.5
1800	38.1	19.8	69.5	6.8
1900	39.7	20.5	72.1	6.6
2000	41.1	21.1	74.6	6.5
2450	52.4	24.0	104.2	7.7
3000	63.8	25.7	140.2	9.5

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

EN62209-2:2010 recommended reference value for Body Tissue

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR (W/Kg)	10 g SAR (W/Kg)	Local SAR at surface (above feed point)	Local SAR at surface (y=2cm offset from feed point)
300	2.85	1.94	4.14	2.00
450	4.58	3.06	6.75	2.98
835	9.56	6.22	14.6	4.90
900	10.9	6.99	16.4	5.40
1450	29.0	16.0	50.2	6.50
1800	38.4	20.1	69.5	6.80
1900	39.7	20.5	72.1	6.60
2000	41.1	21.1	74.6	6.50
2450	52.4	24.0	104	7.70
3000	63.8	25.7	140	9.50

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 92

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen) DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Program Name: 835 MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.93$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 41.37$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: Dummy DAE – SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015

- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Serial: TP-1218

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

835 Head system check /Area Scan (91x141x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.05 mW/g

835 Head system check /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Reference Value = 32.87 V/m; Power Drift = -0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.57 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.930 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.642 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.987 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 92

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen) DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1750-S-2; S/N: 198-00304

Program Name: 1750MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1750 MHz; $\sigma = 1.39 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 41.25$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(5.10, 5.10, 5.10); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: Dummy DAE – SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015


- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Serial: TP-1218

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

1750 head system check/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 5.54 mW/g

1750 head system check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 51.05 V/m; Power Drift = -0.020 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.922 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.622 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.988 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.77 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 92

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)
DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Program Name: 1900MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz; $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 40.95$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: Dummy DAE – SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015

- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Serial: TP-1218


- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

1900 head system check/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 5.76 mW/g

1900 head system check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 59.14 V/m; Power Drift = -0.016 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.366 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.725 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.149 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.89 mW/g




SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 92


EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ½ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No: RSZ160309002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 92

Cheek/Touch Position

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


o When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

Cheek / Touch Position



Ear/Tilt Position


With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point is by 15°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 92

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

Ear /Tilt 15° Position



Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 92

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

Test methodology

EN50360: 2001+A1:2012

EN50566: 2013 EN62209-1:2006 EN62209-2:2010 EN 62479:2010 IEEE1528:2013

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 92


CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Receiver through sufficient attenuation.



Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Test Results:

GSM

Band	Frequency	Conducted Output Power			
Danu	(MHz)	(dBm)	(W)		
	880.2	32.60	1.820		
GSM900	902.0	32.70	1.862		
	914.8	32.80	1.905		
	1710.2	29.50	0.891		
DCS1800	1747.8	29.20	0.832		
	1784.8	29.10	0.813		

GPRS

Mode	Channel No.	Frequency	RF Output Power (dBm)				
		(MHz)	1 slot	2 slots	3 slots	4 slots	
GSM900	975	880.2	32.58	31.44	29.32	28.26	
	60	902.0	32.72	31.59	29.58	28.55	
	124	914.8	32.79	31.67	29.72	28.66	
	512	1710.2	29.46	28.44	26.53	22.58	
DCS1800	700	1747.8	29.24	28.18	26.22	25.28	
	885	1784.8	29.07	28.00	26.06	25.16	

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 92

For SAR, the time based average power is relevant, the difference in between depends on the duty cycle of the TDMA signal.

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Time based Ave. power compared to slotted Ave. power	-9 dB	-6 dB	-4.25 dB	-3 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

The time based average power for GPRS

Band	Channel No.	Frequency	Time based average Power (dBm)				
	Chamier 140.	(MHz)	1 slot	2 slots	3 slots	4 slots	
	975	880.2	23.58	25.44	25.07	25.26	
GSM900	60	902.0	23.72	25.59	25.33	25.55	
	124	914.8	23.79	25.67	25.47	25.66	
	512	1710.2	20.46	22.44	22.28	19.58	
DCS1800	700	1747.8	20.24	22.18	21.97	22.28	
	885	1784.8	20.07	22.00	21.81	22.16	

Note:

- 1. Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMU200) was used for the measurement of GSM peak and average output power for active timeslots.
- 2. For GSM voice, 1 timeslot has been activated with power level 5 (900 MHz band) and 0 (1800 MHz band).
- 3. For GPRS, 1, 2, 3 and 4 timeslots has been activated separately with power control level 3(900 MHz band) and 3(1800 MHz band).

WCDMA 900

Test Condition	Test Mode	3GPP Sub	Averaged Mean Power (dBm)			
		Test	Low Channel	Mid Channel	High Channel	
	Rel 99	RMC	22.40	22.44	22.51	
		1	21.43	21.38	21.43	
	Rel 6 HSDPA	2	21.41	21.37	21.36	
		3	21.30	21.20	21.18	
Normal		4	21.22	21.19	21.19	
Normai		1	21.44	21.47	21.41	
		2	21.37	21.44	21.35	
	Rel 6 HSUPA	3	21.30	21.28	21.18	
	1100111	4	21.38	21.45	21.39	
		5	21.36	21.33	21.29	

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 92

WCDMA 2100

Test	Test Mode	3GPP Sub	Averaged Mean Power (dBm)			
Condition	1000111000	Test	Low Channel	Mid Channel	High Channel	
	Rel 99) RMC	21.86	22.07	22.00	
		1	20.59	20.89	21.11	
	Rel 6 HSDPA	2	20.41	20.86	21.09	
		3	20.12	20.71	20.90	
Normal		4	20.14	20.79	21.01	
Nomiai		1	20.51	20.83	21.08	
		2	20.43	20.71	21.06	
	Rel 6 HSUPA	3	20.16	20.62	20.99	
	1150171	4	20.49	20.81	21.04	
		5	20.44	20.74	21.03	

Note:

The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an established radio link between the EUT and a communication test set using a 12.2 kbps RMC (reference measurement Channel) Configured in Test Loop Model 1.

Bluetooth:

Mode	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Power (dBm)	Power (mW)
	2402	5.13	3.258
BDR(GFSK)	2441	5.53	3.573
	2480	5.41	3.475
	2402	4.21	2.636
EDR(4-DQPSK)	2441	4.56	2.858
	2480	4.39	2.748
	2402	4.19	2.624
EDR-8DPSK	2441	4.55	2.851
	2480	4.36	2.729
	2402	-2.43	0.571
BLE	2441	-2.21	0.601
	2480	-2.47	0.566

Note:

EN62479-SAR is not required for low-power equipment where the available antenna power and/or the average total radiated power is less than or equal to the Pmax values given in Annex A (20 mW).

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 92

Wi-Fi

D 1	Frequency	Conducted Output Power		
Band	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mW)	
	2412	8.00	6.310	
802.11b	2442	8.11	6.471	
	2472	8.83	7.638	
	2412	7.21	5.260	
802.11g	2442	7.90	6.166	
	2472	8.62	7.278	
	2412	7.75	5.957	
802.11n-HT20	2442	7.89	6.152	
	2472	8.54	7.145	
	2422	7.98	6.281	
802.11n-HT40	2442	8.02	6.339	
	2462	8.58	7.211	

Note:

- The output power was tested under data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b, 6Mbps for 802.11g, MCS0 for 802.11n-HT20 and MCS0 for 802.11n-HT40.
 EN62479-SAR is not required for low-power equipment where the available antenna power and/or the average total radiated power is less than or equal to the Pmax values given in Annex A (20 mW).

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 92

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

Test Results:

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature:	21 ℃
Relative Humidity:	52 %
ATM Pressure:	1002 mbar

^{*} Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2016-03-14

EGSM 900:

EUT	Frequency	Test	Antenna	Phantom	Power	CE 10g SAR (W/Kg)		
Position	(MHz)	Mode	Type	Type	Drift (dB)	Measurement	Limit	Plot
	880.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	-0.035	0.328	2.0	/
Left-Head-Cheek	902.0	GSM	Internal	SAM	0.016	0.351	2.0	1#
	914.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	-0.006	0.344	2.0	/
	880.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	1	/	2.0	/
Left-Head-Tilt	902.0	GSM	Internal	SAM	-0.037	0.176	2.0	/
	914.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	880.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	
Right-Head-Cheek	902.0	GSM	Internal	SAM	-0.063	0.342	2.0	/
8	914.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	880.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
Right-Head-Cheek Right -Head-Tilt Body-Headset-Back	902.0	GSM	Internal	SAM	0.105	0.165	2.0	/
	914.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
D 1 H 1 D 1	880.2	GSM	Internal	Universal	/	/	2.0	/
Body-Headset-Back (15mm)	902.0	GSM	Internal	Universal	-0.012	0.310	2.0	/
(1311111)	914.8	GSM	Internal	Universal	/	/	2.0	/
D 1 D 1	880.2	GPRS	Internal	Universal	0.078	0.602	2.0	/
Body-Back (15mm)	902.0	GPRS	Internal	Universal	-0.083	0.630	2.0	2#
(1311111)	914.8	GPRS	Internal	Universal	-0.048	0.607	2.0	/

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

- When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0W/Kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.
 The EUT is a Class B Smartphone Xylo which can be attached to both GPRS and GSM services, using one service at a time.
- 3. The Multi-slot Classes of EUT is Class 12 which has maximum 4 Downlink slots and 4 Uplink slots, the maximum active slots is 5, when perform the multiple slots scan, 3DL+2UL is the worst case.

4. The EUT transmit and receive through the same GSM antenna while testing SAR.

33 of 92 SAR Evaluation Report

EUT	Frequency	Test	Antenna	Phantom	Power	CE 10g SAR (W/Kg)			
Position	(MHz)	Mode	Type	Type	Drift (dB)	Measurement	Limit	Plot	
Left-Head-Cheek	1710.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	0.101	0.318	2.0	/	
	1747.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	0.060	0.334	2.0	3#	
	1784.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	-0.037	0.331	2.0	/	
Left-Head-Tilt	1710.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/	
	1747.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	0.073	0.159	2.0	/	
	1784.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/	
Right-Head-Cheek	1710.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/	
	1747.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	0.047	0.330	2.0	/	
	1784.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/	
Right -Head-Tilt	1710.2	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/	
	1747.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	-0.006	0.162	2.0	/	
	1784.8	GSM	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/	
Body-Headset-Back (15mm)	1710.2	GSM	Internal	Universal	/	/	2.0	/	
	1747.8	GSM	Internal	Universal	0.000	0.082	2.0	/	
	1784.8	GSM	Internal	Universal	/	/	2.0	/	
Body-Back (15mm)	1710.2	GPRS	Internal	Universal	-0.066	0.141	2.0	/	
	1747.8	GPRS	Internal	Universal	-0.003	0.145	2.0	4#	
	1784.8	GPRS	Internal	Universal	0.071	0.133	2.0	/	

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Note:

- 1. When the 10-g SAR is \leq 1.0W/Kg, testing for low and high channel is optional. 2. The EUT is a Class B Smartphone Xylo which can be attached to both GPRS and GSM services, using one
- service at a time.

 3. The Multi-slot Classes of EUT is Class 12which has maximum 4 Downlink slots and 4 Uplink slots, the maximum active slots is 5, when perform the multiple slots scan, 3DL+2UL is the worst case.

 4. The EUT transmit and receive through the same GSM antenna while testing SAR.

34 of 92 **SAR** Evaluation Report

WCDMA900

EUT	Frequency	Test	Antenna Type	Phantom	Power	CE 10g SAR (W/Kg)		
Position	(MHz)	Mode		Type	Drift (%)	Measurement	Limit	Plot
Left-Head-Cheek	882.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.100	0.406	2.0	/
	897.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.154	0.412	2.0	5#
	912.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	0.039	0.388	2.0	/
Left-Head-Tilt	882.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	897.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.093	0.205	2.0	/
	912.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
Right-Head-Cheek	882.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	897.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	0.014	0.407	2.0	/
	912.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
Right -Head-Tilt	882.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	897.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.100	0.196	2.0	/
	912.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	1	/	2.0	/
Body-Headset-Back (15mm)	882.4	RMC	Internal	Universal	0.077	0.365	2.0	/
	897.6	RMC	Internal	Universal	0.025	0.376	2.0	6#
	912.6	RMC	Internal	Universal	-0.052	0.352	2.0	/

WCDMA 2100

EUT	Frequency	Test	Antenna Type	Phantom	Power	CE 10g SAR (W/Kg)		
Position	(MHz)	Mode		Type	Drift (dB)	Measurement	Limit	Plot
Left-Head-Cheek	1922.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	0.071	0.602	2.0	/
	1950.0	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.139	0.615	2.0	7#
	1977.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	0.087	0.609	2.0	/
Left-Head-Tilt	1922.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	1950.0	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.078	0.311	2.0	/
	1977.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
Right-Head-Cheek	1922.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	1950.0	RMC	Internal	SAM	0.047	0.606	2.0	/
	1977.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
Right -Head-Tilt	1922.4	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
	1950.0	RMC	Internal	SAM	-0.011	0.302	2.0	/
	1977.6	RMC	Internal	SAM	/	/	2.0	/
Body-Headset-Back (15mm)	1922.4	RMC	Internal	Universal	0.029	0.260	2.0	/
	1950.0	RMC	Internal	Universal	0.063	0.274	2.0	8#
	1977.6	RMC	Internal	Universal	-0.022	0.263	2.0	/

Note:

- 1. When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0 W/Kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.
- 2. The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an established radio link between the EUT and a communication test set using a 12.2 kbps RMC (reference measurement Channel) Configured in Test Loop Mode.

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 92

SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)

Test Plot 1#: GSM 900 Left Cheek Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: 2G frequency band; Frequency: 902 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 902 MHz; $\sigma = 0.99$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 41.34$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

GSM900-head-left-mid /**Area Scan (81x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.727 mW/g

GSM900-head-left-mid /**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 8.947 V/m; Power Drift = 0.016 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.948 W/kg

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

SAR(1 g) = 0.687 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.351 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.715 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 92

Test Plot 2#: GSM 900 Body-worn Back Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: GSM900-gprs-2slots; Frequency: 902 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4 Medium parameters used: f = 902 MHz; $\sigma = 0.99$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 41.34$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

GSM900-gprs-back -mid/Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.162 mW/g

GSM900-gprs-back -mid /**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)**/**Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.510 V/m; Power Drift = -0.083 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.447 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.084 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.630 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.150 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 92

Test Plot 3#: DCS1800 Left Cheek Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: 2G frequency band; Frequency: 1747.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8 Medium parameters used: f = 1747.8 MHz; $\sigma = 1.36$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.27$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145


DCS1800-head-left-mid /**Area Scan (71x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.691 mW/g

DCS1800-head-left-mid /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 8.417 V/m; Power Drift = 0.060 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.942 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.653 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.334 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.675 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 92

Test Plot 4#: DCS1800 Body-worn Back Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: DCS1800-gprs-2slots; Frequency: 1747.8 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4 Medium parameters used: f=1747.8 MHz; $\sigma=1.36$ S/m; $\epsilon_r=39.27$; $\rho=1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section:Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

DCS1800-gprs-back-mid /**Area Scan (71x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.296 mW/g

DCS1800-gprs-back-mid /**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 4.803 V/m; Power Drift = -0.003 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.462 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.271 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.145 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.289 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 92

Test Plot 5#: WCDMA900 Left Cheek Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: 3G frequency band; Frequency: 897.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 897.6 MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 41.55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

WCDMA900-Left -mid /Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.809 mW/g

WCDMA900-Left -mid /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 9.694 V/m; Power Drift = -0.154 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.166 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.783 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.412 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.805 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 92

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)

Test Plot 6#: WCDMA900 Body-worn Back Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: 3G frequency band; Frequency: 897.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 897.6 MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 41.55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.766 mW/g

- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

WCDMA900-back-mid /Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.778 mW/g

WCDMA900-back-mid /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 9.341 V/m; Power Drift = 0.025 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.982 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.740 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.376 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 92

Test Plot 7#: WCDMA2100 Left Cheek Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: 3G frequency band; Frequency: 1950 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 1950 MHz; $\sigma = 1.39$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 40.22$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(4.90, 4.90, 4.90); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

WCDMA2100-Left-mid /Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.153 mW/g

WCDMA2100-Left-mid /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.373 V/m; Power Drift = -0.139 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.620 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.073 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.615 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.160 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 92

Test Plot 8#: WCDMA2100 Body-worn Back Middle Channel

DUT: Smartphone Xylo; Type: Xylo Q;

Communication System: 3G frequency band; Frequency: 1950 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 1950 MHz; $\sigma = 1.39$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 40.22$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(4.90, 4.90, 4.90); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

WCDMA2100-back-mid /Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.563 mW/g

WCDMA2100-back-mid /**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 7.194 V/m; Power Drift = 0.063 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.847 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.539 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.274 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.557 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 92

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY4 measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

	DASY4 Uncertainty Budget							
According to IEEE 1528								
Error Description	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	(c i)	(c i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v i)
1	Value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	veff
		Measur	rement Sy	ystem				
Probe Calibration	± 6.0 %	N	1	1	1	± 6.0 %	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	± 9.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	~
Boundary Effects	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	×
Linearity	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	~
System Detection Limits	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	œ
Readout Electronics	± 0.3 %	N	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response Time	± 0.8 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	± 2.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient Noise	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	œ
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	œ
Probe Positioner	± 0.4 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	œ
Probe Positioning	± 2.9 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	œ
Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
		Test Sa	ample Re	lated				
Device Positioning	± 2.9 %	N	1	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6 %	N	1	1	1	± 3.6 %	± 2.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0 %	R		1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
		Phanto	om and S	etup				
Phantom Uncertainty	± 4.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	œ
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	± 1.8 %	± 1.2 %	œ
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.64	0.43	± 1.6 %	± 1.1 %	œ
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	± 1.7 %	± 1.4 %	œ
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.6	0.49	± 1.5 %	± 1.0 %	∞
Combined Std. Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 10.7 %	± 10.4 %	330
Expanded STD Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 21.4 %	± 20.8 %	-

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 92

DASY4 Uncertainty Budget									
	According to IEC 62209-2								
Eman Description	Uncertainty	Prob.	Dia	(c i)	(c i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v i)	
Error Description	Value	ue Dist.	Div.	1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	veff	
		Measur	rement Sy	stem					
Probe Calibration	± 6.0 %	N	1	1	1	± 6.0 %	± 6.0 %	∞	
Axial Isotropy	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞	
Boundary Effects	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞	
Linearity	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	∞	
System Detection Limits	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞	
Readout Electronics	± 0.3 %	N	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞	
Response Time	± 0.8 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	∞	
Integration Time	± 2.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞	
RF Ambient Noise	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞	
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞	
Probe Positioner	± 0.4 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	∞	
Probe Positioning	± 2.9 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞	
Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞	
		Test Sa	ample Re	lated					
Device Positioning	± 2.9 %	N	1	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	145	
Device Holder	± 3.6 %	N	1	1	1	± 3.6 %	± 2.6 %	5	
Power Drift	± 5.0 %	R		1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞	
		Phanto	om and S	etup					
Phantom Uncertainty	± 4.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	± 1.8 %	± 1.2 %	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.64	0.43	± 1.6 %	± 1.1 %	œ	
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	± 1.7 %	± 1.4 %	∞	
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.6	0.49	± 1.5 %	± 1.0 %	∞	
Combined Std. Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 10.7 %	± 10.4 %	330	
Expanded STD Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 21.4 %	± 20.8 %	-	

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 92

APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA

Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

BACL

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object

ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5,

QA CAL-25.v6

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

August 20, 2015

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3013 Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 660	14-Jan-15 (No. DAE4-660_Jan15)	Jan-16
6.	er		
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by:

Name
Function
Signature
Laboratory Technician

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic
Technical Manager

Issued: August 20, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 1 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 92

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





C

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z sensitivity in free space
ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP diode compression point
CF crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal

A, B, C, D modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ rotation around probe axis

Polarization 9 9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),

i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx,y,z: Assessed for E-field polarization

 9 = 0 (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide).
 NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is
 implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included
 in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORMx (no
 uncertainty required).

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 2 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 92

August 20, 2015

Probe ES3DV3

SN:3036

Manufactured: Calibrated:

August 21, 2003 August 20, 2015

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems (Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 3 of 11

48 of 92 **SAR** Evaluation Report

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	1.22	1.34	1.49	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) ^B	102.6	104.5	104.8	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB√μV	С	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	0.00 207.4 ±	±3.5 %
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		222.8	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		226.3	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 4 of 11

A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
150	52.3	0.76	7.06	7.06	7.06	0.05	1.20	± 13.3 %
450	43.5	0.87	6.58	6.58	6.58	0.19	1.90	± 13.3 %
750	41.9	0.89	6.13	6.13	6.13	0.25	2.28	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	5.96	5.96	5.96	0.31	1.86	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.10	5.10	5.10	0.58	1.37	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	4.90	4.90	4.90	0.71	1.22	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.34	4.34	4.34	0.59	1.44	± 12.0 %
3700	37.7	3.12	3.84	3.84	3.84	0.35	2.20	± 13.1 %

^c Frequency validity above 300 MHz of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to \pm 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is \pm 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to \pm 110 MHz.

Fat frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to \pm 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to \pm 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than \pm 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below \pm 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 5 of 11

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
150	61.9	0.80	6.82	6.82	6.82	0.08	1.20	± 13.3 %
450	56.7	0.94	6.69	6.69	6.69	0.14	1.20	± 13.3 %
750	55.5	0.96	6.10	6.10	6.10	0.40	1.64	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.00	6.00	6.00	0.49	1.55	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.75	4.75	4.75	0.51	1.48	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.56	4.56	4.56	0.48	1.60	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.19	4.19	4.19	0.80	1.09	± 12.0 %
3700	51.0	3.55	3.58	3.58	3.58	0.50	2.12	± 13.1 %

^c Frequency validity above 300 MHz of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to \pm 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is \pm 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to \pm 110 MHz.

Fat frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) can be relaxed to \pm 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) is restricted to \pm 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.


Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than \pm 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below \pm 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 6 of 11

August 20, 2015

Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 7 of 11


SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 92

August 20, 2015

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$








Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)


Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 8 of 11

August 20, 2015

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (TEM cell , f_{eval}= 1900 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)


Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 9 of 11


SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 92

ES3DV3- SN:3036 August 20, 2015

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (φ, θ), f = 900 MHz



-1.0 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 10 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 92

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	17.1
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 11 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 92

APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Calibration File No: DC-1599 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5779

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole(Head and Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-835-S-2
Frequency: 835 MHz
Serial No: 180-00558

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 8th October 2014 Released on: 8th October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received with a damaged connection for a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue: $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

Electrical Specification

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	835 MHz	1.066 U	-30.344 dB	49.001 Ω
Body	835 MHz	1.089 U	-28.118 dB	53.117 Ω

System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	835 MHz	9.773	6.174	14.713
Body	835 MHz	9.736	6.297	14.513



3

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was repaired prior to this calibration. The repair reliability depends upon correct usage of the dipole.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

Electrical Verification

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-30.344 dB	1.066 U	49.001Ω
Body	-28.118 dB	1.089 U	53.117 Ω 🗆

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	43.42	0.94
Body Tissue 835MHz	55.77	1.01

5

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 92

6


NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss

0.636748



Body Tissue: Frequency Range 0.823 to 0.851 GHz S11 FORWARD REFLECTION



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


MARKER READOUT FUNCTIONS

1.036236


62 of 92 SAR Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR




Body




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

incht.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 64 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014.

9

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 65 of 92

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Calibration File No: DC-1531 Project Number: BACL-5745

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

BACL Head & Body Validation Dipole

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1750-S-2
Frequency: 1750 MHz
Serial No: 198-00304

Customer: ISL

Calibrated: 8th October, 2013 Released on: 8th October, 2013

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Heleased By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

VCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 66 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 198-00304 was an original calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue: $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Constantin Teodorian, Test Engineer

2

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions

Length: 75 mm Height: 42 mm


Electrical Calibration


Test	Result Head	Result Body
S11 R/L	-25.567	-20.548 dB
SWR	1.111U	1.207 U
Impedance	53.637Ω	55.929 Ω

System Validation Results, 1750 MHz

	1g	10g	
Head	37.02	18.99	
Body	36.65	18.85	

Туре	Epsilon	Sigma	
Head	38.51	1.36	
Body	51.79	1.53	





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-030 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 215.

References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure

SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)" IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 2 *Draft*: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for handheld devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"

Conditions

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

This was an original calibration taken from stock.

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

Measured	Measured	
Length	Height	
75 mm	42 mm	

Tissue Validation

Frequency	Permittivity &	Conductivity σ
1750 Head	38.23	1.38
1750 Body	52.86	1.54

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 70 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Electrical Calibration

Test	Result Head	Result Body
S11 R/L	-25.567	-20.548 dB
SWR	1.111U	1.207 U
Impedance	53.637Ω	55.929 Ω

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss

Head



Body



6

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 71 of 92


Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR

Head




Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



8

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2013

200

9

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 74 of 92

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Calibration File No: DC-1601 Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5779

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 9th October, 2014 Released on: 9th October, 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By: _

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 75 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 76 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

Electrical Specification

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.481	20.44	73.364
Body	1900 MHz	39.715	20.552	73.565



3

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 77 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

References

- IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
- Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for handheld devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Draft Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 78 of 92

4

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

Electrical Validation

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	40.20	1.38
Body Tissue 1900MHz	52.63	1.46


SAR Evaluation Report 79 of 92

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

\$11 Parameter Return Loss





Body: Frequency Range 1.869 to 1.931 MHz




6


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

80 of 92 SAR Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 81 of 92


7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 82 of 92

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment


The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014


9

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 83 of 92

APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS





Body-worn Back Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 84 of 92

Left Head Touch Setup Photo



Left Head Tilt Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 85 of 92

Right Head Touch Setup Photo



Right Head Tilt Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 86 of 92

APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS

EUT – Front View



EUT -Back View



SAR Evaluation Report 87 of 92

EUT – Left View



EUT – Right View



SAR Evaluation Report 88 of 92

EUT - Top View



EUT – Bottom View



SAR Evaluation Report 89 of 92

EUT - Cover off View



SAR Evaluation Report 90 of 92

APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

SAR Evaluation Report 91 of 92

PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER

Advanced Technologies SRL

Address: Ion Heliade Radulescu nr 26, Bucharest 021255, ROMANIA

Tel: +40 (21) 569 85 33/34 Fax: +40 (31) 814 61 12

E-mail: marius.chirca@advanced.ro

2016-3-30

Product Similarity Declaration

To Whom It May Concern,

We, Advanced Technologies SRL, hereby declare that we have a product named as Smartphone Xylo (Model number: Xylo Q) was tested by BACL, meanwhile, for our marketing purpose, we would like to list a series models (Xylo X) on reports and certificate, the difference of these models is the memory of flash, since the model Xylo Q is 512M and Xylo X is 1G. The pixels of camera are different since Xylo Q is equipped with 200W and Xylo X is equipped with 500W. No other changes are made to them.

We confirm that all information above is true, and we'll be responsible for all the consequences. Please contact me if you have any question.

Report No: RSZ160309002-20

Signature:

Marius

Purchasing Manager

regrius

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 92 of 92